

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/901,913	07/11/2001	Junichi Matsushita	040894-5425-01	7852
9629 75	590 03/12/2003		·	
MORGAN LE	EWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	EXAMINER		
IIII PENNSY WASHINGTO	LVANIA AVENUE NW N, DC 20004	SEFER, AHMED N		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2826	
		DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Α,		Application No		plicant(s)				
		09/901,913		MATSUSHITA ET AL				
مري	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A. Sefer		2826				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence addr ss Period for Reply								
A SHO THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	136(a). In no event, how oly within the statutory m will apply and will expire e, cause the application	vever, may a reply be tim inimum of thirty (30) days a SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
	d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	9	,	,				
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□		——· his action is non-	final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
-	Claim(s) 4 is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)[🛛	Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requir	ement.					
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ⚠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) [5) [<u>3</u> . 6) [y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				
L C Datast and 3	Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/901,913

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art (APA) in view of Ohta et al. US Patent No. 5,673,127.

The APA discloses in fig. 5 a liquid crystal display device including an under light type back light unit in which light emitted from a plurality of linear light sources 4 is reflected by a reflecting sheet 5 disposed at a rear side of the plurality of linear light sources, then transmitted through a light modulation film 9 and a light diffusion plate 7 to form a planar light source with a uniform luminance thereby to indirectly irradiate a liquid crystal panel 8 from a rear side thereof, but omits a light source shielded by a light shield.

Ohta et al disclose (see figs. 4-6 and col. 8, lines 24-36) a light emitted from a linear light source 1b shielded by a light shielding plate 17 and transmitted only through the guiding plate 15.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Ohta with the APA, since that would prevent the face luminance around the linear source which is shielded by a light

Application/Control Number: 09/901,913

Art Unit: 2826

shielding plate from becoming extremely higher than other portions of the display are as taught by Ohta et al.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nishimura (JP 6-75217) discloses an LCD including an under light type backlight unit.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Sefer whose telephone number is (703) 605-1227.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601.

ANS March 7, 2003

> NATHAN J. FLYNN SUPERVICION FATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800